Problem

We have a huge amount of reads from RNA transcripts + potentially some rubbish sequences

We decided to classify given reads into the one of following three groups:

a) Reads of good quality not interrupted by introns

on the genome level - Exon reads

- a) Reads of good quality interrupted by introns Splicing reads
- b) Badly sequenced reads + No hits sequences Bad reads
- 1. Exon reads can give us information on exons location and expression level of genes
- 2. Splicing reads can provide information on splice sites and introns positions
 - 1. and 2. could be used to improve gene prediction accuracy

Realization in TRANSOMICS pipeline

Using our *SCAN2* program we mapped (with parameters for very fast and uninterrupted mapping) each read to contigs (or chromosomes) and compute three values: number of good hits, number of bad hits and quality of the best mapping (alignment), which were used for initial read sorting to

Group 1: EXON READS

Reads that have a number of good hits to some contig higher in a certain number times the number of hits for any other contigs

Group 2: BAD READS

Reads that have good mappings in several contigs or many bad mappings in various contigs

Group 3: No uninterrupted hits (No significant hits +SPLICING READS)

The last group ~ 10% of the total read number and can be studied more thoroughly

By our **EST_MAP** program we mapped reads of Group 3 to chromosomes and then selected SPLICING READS

Example of mapping by EST_MAP

mapped perfectly to a chromosome as 2 fragments, with an intron between them

[DR] Se	equence:	4(1) L:	36			
Blocks	of alignmer	nt: 2				
1 E:	4679323	26 [ag GT] P:	4679323	1 L:	26, G: 100.00, W:	520, S:7.99124
2 E:	4679397	10 [AG ga] P:	4679397	27 L:	10, G: 100.00, W:	200, S:4.69493

Data used

Organism	Genome version	Genome size	RNAseq data size (example)
H. sapiens*	GRCh37 (hg19)	~3 Gb	~24 Gb (GM12878_2x75)
C. elegans	WS200	~98 Mb	~6.8 Gb (SRX001873)
D. melanogaster	version 5 (dmel_r5.20_FB2009_07)	~165 Mb	~13.1 Gb (cell line Kc167)

* repeats masking:

for Human genome, repeats found by RepeatMasker were masked

(simple repeats and low complexity regions were not masked since they can be parts of protein coding regions);

for Drosophila and C.elegans genomes repeats were not masked.

Reads data

C. elegans

experiment : polyA+ RNAseq random fragment library (Illumina) lab : UWGS-RW	
1. SRX004863 & SRX004864: early embryo	(7.5 Gb + 11 Gb)
2. SRX004865 & SRX004866: late embryo	(7.6 Gb + 7.3 Gb)
3. SRX004867: mid-L1	(16 Gb)
4. SRX001872: mid-L2	(13 Gb)
5. SRX001875: mid L3	(7.7 Gb)
6. SRX001874: mid L4	(5.1 Gb)
7. SRX001873: young adult (pre-gravid)	(6.8 Gb)

+ combined set of reads from all stages

Drosophila

lab	: Celniker modENCODE supergroup
experiment	: cell line S2-DRSC this set was split into Untreated and treated (25.8 Gb)
experiment	: cell line CME_W1_CI (7.4 Gb)
experiment	: cell line Kc167 (13.1 Gb)
experiment	: cell line ML-DmBG3-c2 (6.9 Gb)

+ combined set of reads from all cell lines

Human

experiment lab : Solexa Human polyA+ total RNA, paired reads, GM12878 (~24 Gb) : Wold lab, Caltech

Steps of TRANSOMICS pipeline: Preparing reads data

@HWI-EAS214:2:1:1:571#0/1
AAAATCTTTAGAAAGCATGCTACTGGATAATACTTGCAAGTTGGATGCTAAAGATTCACCACTGTACCAGCAACANAGACCGTGTCCTANGAGCGCTCTCG
+HWI-EAS214:2:1:1:571#0/1
`aaababba``]`WZQ\`YRa]Y\VR`_H]MHVaZXLPQZ\ON][MD^QSJRDDKEDKPPRDHDMLFDHILDNDDNDDRDKKFHNDNDDHFKNGWGYDPG
...

>1 AAAATCTTTAGAAAGCATGCTACTGATAATACTTGCAAGTTGATTGCTAAAGATTCACCACTGTACCAGCAACANAGACCGTGTCCTANGAGCGCTCTCG ...



TRANSOMICS pipeline flow



Transomics pipeline flow (continued)

Make gene predictions using the following input data

FGENESH with advanced input options

- genomic sequences
- gene finding parameters (matrixes Human, C_elegans, Drosophila)
- list of potential splice sites and introns

For Drosophila (method 2), EXON reads profiles were also used in *Fgenesh* input data.

convert gene predictions from Fgenesh to GTF format

Gene predictions have been done for each experiment and with combined set of reads from all cell lines

Calculating expression levels

Profiles (coverage of each chromosome by EXON reads) were used for calculating expression data

For each gene (exon), RPKM was calculated as follows:

RPKM = 1000000000 * (profile_sum_locus / profiles_sum_all) / length (in bp),

where

profile_sum_locus - sum of profile coverage of gene (exon) by mapped reads; profiles_sum_all - sum of profile coverage of chromosome by mapped reads, and sum over all chromosomes for a given organism;

length - length of gene (exon) in base pairs (bp).

In our modified RPKM formulae we worked with profiles rather than reads themselves, and used the multiplier (profile_sum_locus / profiles_sum_all)

instead of the multiplier (number of reads mapped to gene (exon) / overall number of mapped reads).

Results reported

For each experiment, only genes with RPKM > 0.01 were reported.

For structure predictions using reads from all sets/stages for a given organism all genes were reported.

C.elegans, SRX001873: young adult example:

~6.8 Gb (all Solexa files in fastq format) 60 903 898 reads

after removing head / tail NNNs and skipping short reads (and converting to FASTA format):

~2.7 Gb (FASTA files) 59 547 560 reads

conversion to binary format ~4.8 Gb (binary files)

mapping reads

59547560 - 100% - all reads
41150605 - 69.1% - EXON reads mapped as uniterrapted fragment
1002486 - 1.7% - SPLICE READS mapped to chromosomes as 2 fragments (alignment with potential internal intron)
17394469 - 29.2% - BAD reads (mapped not so well or mapped to multiple chromosomes)

Times of processing data

TIME FOR :chr2 vs. reads of SRX001873 C.e. Chr2 ~ 15 Mb SRX001873: young adult (pre-gravid) ~ 6.8 Gb *Pipeline steps:*

Data preparation step: make FASTA files with reads from Solexa files, remove head / tail NNNs and skip short reads, convert to binary format: 20 min Map reads to the chromosome: 2 h 30 min 1 h 30 min Sort reads by chromosomes (perl script): Make EST MAP alignments for splice sites discovery (to all chromosomes): 1 h Analysing alignments, list of potential splice sites and introns: 10 sec 6 min Fgenesh gene predictions: Make profile (coverage of chromosome by reads): 8 min Calculating expression data (perl scripts): 30 sec Conversion to GTF format: 2 sec

Effect on gene predictions

TEST of Fgenesh gene prediction accuracy: for 10 Ngasp sequences of C.elegans

FGENESH +	SN nuc %	SP nuc %	Exon exact Sn	Exon exact Sp	Exon ovr Sn	Exon ovr Sp	Exactly predicted genes
Ab initio	95.2	86.0	79.1	72.7	94.0	84.4	794
Ngasp EST With bigger EST set	94.8 94.9	87.3 87.6	81.5 82.4	76.0 77.0	94.4 94.5	86.0 86.4	967 1035
With EST and ALT SPLICING predictions	95.3	87.5	83.6	76.5	94.9	86.5	1130
WITH SPLICE READS	95.9	86.7	82.2	74.5	95.4	84.9	944

High accuracy of ab initio predictions on nucleotide level on these data leaves a small room to increase it.

Using reads from different experiments for Alternative splicing variants discovery



Alternative splicing of Drosophila copia-specific 2.1-kb mRNA

cell line Kc167 transcript RPKM "9077.06"

G Str Feature Start End Score ORF Len rpkm 337 + 1 CDSf 3074367 -3074691 24.41 3074367 -3074690 324 11151.92 2 CDS1 3077641 -3077747 5.29 3077643 - 3077747 105 2774.91 337 +337 + PolA 3078701 1.25 >FGENESH: 337 2 exon (s) 3074367 - 3077747 143 aa, chain + MDNCGFVLDSGASDHLINDESLYTDSVEVVPPLKIAVAKQGEFIYATKRGIVRLRNDHEI TLEDVLFCKEAAGNLMSVKRLQEAGMSIEFDKSGVTISKNGLMVVKNSENQLADIFTKPL PAARFVELRDKLGLLODDOSNAE cell line CME W1 CI transcript RPKM "11390.86" G Str Feature Start End Score ORF rpkm Len 312 +1 CDSf 3073518 -3073845 19.90 3073518 -3073844 327 1845.05 312 + 2 CDSi 3073909 - 3074691 5.17 3073911 - 3074690 780 15851.23 312 + 3 CDS1 3077641 - 3077747 5.49 3077643 - 3077747 8012.87 105

312 + PolA 3078701 1.25 >FGENESH: 312 3 exon (s) 3073518 - 3077747 405 aa, chain + MDKAKRNIKPFDGEKYAIWKFRIRALLAEQDVLKVVDGLMPNEVDDSWKKAERCAKSTII EYLSDSFLNFATSDITARQILENLDAVYERKSLASQLALRKRLLSLKLSTGAKIEEMDKI SHLLITLPSCYDGIITAIETLSEENLTLAFVKNRLLDQEIKIKNDHNDTSKKVMNAIVHN NNNTYKNNLFKNRVTKPKKIFKGNSKYKVKCHHCGREGHIKKDCFHYKRILNNKNKENEK QVQTATSHGIAFMVKEVNNTSVMDNCGFVLDSGASDHLINDESLYTDSVEVVPPLKIAVA KQGEFIYATKRGIVRLRNDHEITLEDVLFCKEAAGNLMSVKRLQEAGMSIEFDKSGVTIS KNGLMVVKNSENQLADIFTKPLPAARFVELRDKLGLLQDDQSNAE

cell line Kc167 transcript RPKM "5403.70"

G Str	Feature	Start	End	Score	ORF		Len	rpkm
395 +	1 CDSf	3650274 -	3650601	11.29	3650274 -	3650600	327	959.76
395 +	2 CDSi	3651046 -	3651447	14.29	3651048 -	3651446	399	9729.30
395 +	3 CDS1	3654397 -	3654503	1.16	3654399 -	3654503	105	2774.91
395 +	PolA	3655193		1.25				

>FGENESH: 395 3 exon (s) 3650274 - 3654503 278 aa, chain MDKAKRNIKPFDGEKYAIWKFRIRALLAEQDVLKVVDGLMPNEVDDSWKKAERCAKSTII
EYLSDSFLNFATSDITAROILENLDAVYERKSLASOLALRKRLLSLKLSKNEKOVOTATT

```
HGIAFMVKEVNNTSVMDNCGFVLDSGASDHLINDESLYTDSVEVVPPLKIAVAKOGEFIY
```

ATKRGIVRLRNDHEITLEDVLFCKEAAGNLMSVKRLQEAGMSIEFDKSGVTISKNGLMVV

KNSENQLADIFTKPLPAARFVELRDKLGLLQDDQSNAE

cell line CME W1 CI transcript RPKM "21308.42"

G	Str	Feature	Start	End	Score	ORF	Len	rpkm	
364	+	1 CDSf	3651123 -	3651447	21.51	3651123 - 3651446	324	25685.72	
364	+	2 CDS1	3654397 -	3654503	1.81	3654399 - 3654503	105	8012.87	
364	+	PolA	3655193		1.25				
>FGE	ENESH	: 364 2	exon (s)	3651123 -	3654503	143 aa, chain +			
MDNCGFVLDSGASDHLINDESLYTDSVEVVPPLKIAVAKQGEFIYATKRGIVRLRNDHEI									
TLEDVLFCKEAAGNLMSVKRLQEAGMSIEFDKSGVTISKNGLMVVKNSENQLADIFTKPL									
PAARFVELRDKLGLLQDDQSNAE									

Alternative splicing in Drosophila genes





Alternative splicing in Drosophila genes





Participants

Victor Solovyev Igor Seledtsov Peter Kosarev Vladimir Molodtsov Department of Computer Science, Royal Holloway, University of London, UK; Softberry Inc., USA

2 quad core processor computers

Note: it is a first version of TRANSOMICS pipeline with methods developed or adjust to treat read data without availability of proper learning data.

Further progress certainly can be done having available training sets data (to experiment with methods), accounting paired reads, quality and other reads information.